

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,362	001,362 10/23/2001		Thomas B. Sheridan	S1450/7000 RJK	S1450/7000 RJK 1365	
33392	7590	12/19/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
ELIZABET			PHAN, THAI Q			
40087 MISSION BLVD. BOX 367 FREMONT, CA 94539				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2128	•	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/001,362	SHERIDAN, THOMAS B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thai Q. Phan	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Section 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage	
Attachment(s) I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/19/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/001,362

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 09/19/2005.

Claims 1-32 are pending in the Action.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS statement filed on 09/19/2005 is being considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the natural environment" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claim 23 recited the limitation "generated object ... aligned with the natural environment ... ". Applicant fails to point out how the generated object is in alignment with the natural environment. What is a mechanism to provide such alignment and presented to a viewer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/001,362

Art Unit: 2128

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4 and 7-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kintz, Gregory, US patent no. 6,118,414.

As per claim 1, Kintz anticipated a method and system for real time observing images and a driving simulation for proving a relatively clear and realistic image with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Kintz, the method includes means and steps

A mobile vehicle for driving simulation (col. 8, lines 48-64),

A scene or virtual image display means in communications with a scene or image generator to display image to user (col. 5, lines 29-49, cols.7-8, col. 10, lines 38-60, for example),

An environment surrounding the viewer being presented on the scene display and generated by the scene or view image generator above in aligned with the surrounding environment to provide the viewer with a view perception or view simulation as claimed (cols. 11-14, for example).

As per claims 2-4, and 7-22, Kintz anticipated the claimed limitations for driving simulation (cols. 8-14).

As per claims 23-32, the claims are directed to a method for generating an environment view and for driving simulator with feature limitations as shown in the apparatus claims above. Claims 23-32 are also rejected in like manner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-32, filed on 09/19/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. US patent no. 5,577,913, issued to Moncrief et al, on Nov. 1996
- 2. US patent no. 6,327,708, issued to Monsees, Thomas, on Dec. 2001
- 3. US patent no. 6,611,297, issued to Akashi et al, on Aug. 2003
- 2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/001,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thai Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dec. 10, 2005

Thai'Phan

Patent Examiner